

recommendation has been made available to producers and handlers in the production area, and (3) this relieves Marketing Order No. 949 restrictions on the handling of potatoes.

Order terminated. The provisions of § 949.304 (27 F.R. 8027) are hereby terminated as of December 1, 1962.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated November 28, 1962, to become effective December 1, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-11904; Filed, Nov. 30, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

Chapter X—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

MILK IN PUGET SOUND, INLAND EMPIRE AND GREAT BASIN MARKETING AREAS

Orders Amending Orders

[Milk Order No. 125]

PART 1125—MILK IN PUGET SOUND, WASH., MARKETING AREA

§ 1125.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) **Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.** Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Puget Sound marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and whole-

some milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

(b) **Additional findings.** It is necessary in the public interest to make this order amending the order effective not later than December 1, 1962. Any delay beyond that date would tend to disrupt the orderly marketing of milk in this marketing area.

The provisions of the said order are known to handlers. The recommended decision of the Assistant Secretary, United States Department of Agriculture, was issued October 22, 1962, and the final decision of the Assistant Secretary containing all amendment provisions of this order, has been issued November 14, 1962. The changes effected by this order will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers. In view of the foregoing, it is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making this order amending the order effective December 1, 1962, and that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this order for 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. (Sec. 4(c), Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 1001-1011.)

(c) **Determinations.** It is hereby determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of handlers (excluding cooperative associations specified in section 8c (9) of the Act) of more than 50 percent of the milk, which is marketed within the marketing area, to sign a proposed marketing agreement, tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The issuance of this order amending the order is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the Act of advancing the interests of producers as defined in the order as herein amended; and

(3) The issuance of the order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in the Puget Sound marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, as follows:

1. Section 1125.7 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1125.7 Plant.

"Plant" means the land, buildings, surroundings, facilities and equipment, whether owned or operated by one or more persons, constituting a single operating unit or establishment, which is maintained and operated primarily for the receiving, handling and processing of milk and milk products.

(a) The buildings, premises and facilities, including facilities for washing tanks, of a reload point used primarily as a location at which milk is transferred from one bulk farm pickup tank to another or to an over-the-road tank truck, and approved for such use by an appropriate health authority, shall constitute a plant, and any such reload point on the premises of a plant engaging in other operations shall constitute a part of the operations of such plant.

(b) The buildings, premises and storage facilities of a distribution point at which are stored en route in the course of disposition skim milk and butterfat in any of the forms specified in § 1125.41 (a) that have been processed and packaged in consumer-type packages at a fluid milk plant (or country plant qualified pursuant to the proviso of § 1125.9) shall not constitute a plant. Operations of such a distribution point located on the premises of a nonpool plant or a country plant not engaged in packaging milk in consumer-type packages shall not constitute a part of the operations of such plant. Skim milk or butterfat disposed of through such a distribution point shall be treated as though disposed of from the fluid milk plant or country plant at which it was processed and packaged.

2. In § 1125.41, paragraph (b) (3) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1125.41 Classes of utilization.

(b) * * *

(3) Disposed of in bulk in any of the forms specified in paragraph (a) of this section to bakeries, soup companies and candy manufacturing establishments in their capacity as such,

3. Section 1125.50 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1125.50 Basic formula price.

The basic formula price shall be the average price per hundredweight for manufacturing grade milk, f.o.b. plants in Wisconsin and Minnesota, as reported by the Department for the month, adjusted to a 3.5 percent butterfat basis by a butterfat differential rounded to the nearest one-tenth cent computed at 0.12 times the simple average of the daily wholesale selling prices (using the midpoint of any price range as one price) of Grade A (92-score) bulk creamery butter per pound at Chicago, as reported by the Department for the month. The basic formula price shall be rounded to the nearest full cent.

4. In § 1125.51 paragraphs (a) and (b) (3) are revised to read as follows:

§ 1125.51 Class prices.

(a) **Class I milk.** The price for Class I milk shall be the basic formula price for the preceding month plus \$1.65: *Provided*, That the price for Class I milk for the months of April through June, inclusive, of any year shall not be higher than the price computed pursuant to the above provisions of this paragraph for the month of March immediately preceding, and the price for Class I milk for any

October through January period, inclusive, shall not be lower than the price computed pursuant to the provisions of this paragraph for the month of September immediately preceding.

(b) *Class II milk.* * * *

(3) From the sum of the results arrived at under subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph, subtract 80 cents plus five times the butterfat differential computed pursuant to § 1125.52(b).

§§ 1125.52, 1125.71, 1125.82

[Amendment]

5. In §§ 1125.52, 1125.71, and 1125.82, "4.0" is changed to "3.5" wherever it appears.

[Milk Order No. 133]

PART 1133—MILK IN INLAND EMPIRE MARKETING AREA

§ 1133.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Inland Empire marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

(b) *Additional findings.* It is necessary in the public interest to make this order amending the order effective not later than December 1, 1962. Any delay

beyond that date would tend to disrupt the orderly marketing of milk in this marketing area.

The provisions of the said order are known to handlers. The recommended decision of the Assistant Secretary, United States Department of Agriculture, was issued October 22, 1962, and the final decision of the Assistant Secretary containing all amendment provisions of this order, has been issued November 14, 1962. The changes effected by this order will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers. In view of the foregoing, it is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making this order amending the order effective December 1, 1962, and that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this order for 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. (Sec. 4(c), Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 1001-1011.)

(c) *Determinations.* It is hereby determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of handlers (excluding cooperative associations specified in section 8c(9) of the Act) of more than 50 percent of the milk, which is marketed within the marketing area, to sign a proposed marketing agreement, tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The issuance of this order amending the order is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the Act of advancing the interests of producers as defined in the order as herein amended; and

(3) The issuance of the order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in the Inland Empire marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, as follows:

1. Section 1133.50 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1133.50 Basic formula price.

The basic formula price shall be the average price per hundredweight for manufacturing grade milk, f.o.b. plants in Wisconsin and Minnesota, as reported by the Department for the month, adjusted to a 3.5 percent butterfat basis by a butterfat differential rounded to the nearest one-tenth cent computed at 0.12 times the simple average of the daily wholesale selling prices (using the midpoint of any price range as one price) of Grade A (92-score) bulk creamery butter per pound at Chicago, as reported by the Department for the month. The basic formula price shall be rounded to the nearest full cent.

2. In § 1133.51 paragraphs (a) and (c) (3) are revised to read as follows:

§ 1133.51 Class prices.

(a) *Class I milk.* For each month the price for Class I milk shall be the basic formula price for the preceding month plus \$1.90 adjusted by the amount, but not in excess of 50 cents for any month, computed pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

(c) *Class III milk.* * * *

(3) From the sum of the results arrived at under subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph, subtract 80 cents plus five times the butterfat differential computed pursuant to § 1133.52(b), and round to the nearest cent.

§§ 1133.52, 1133.71, 1133.82 [Amendment]

3. In §§ 1133.52, 1133.71, 1133.82, "4.0" is changed to "3.5" wherever it appears.

[Milk Order No. 136]

PART 1136—MILK IN GREAT BASIN MARKETING AREA

§ 1136.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Great Basin marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

(b) *Additional findings.* It is necessary in the public interest to make this order amending the order effective not later than December 1, 1962. Any delay beyond that date would tend to disrupt the orderly marketing of milk in this marketing area.

The provisions of the said order are known to handlers. The recommended decision of the Assistant Secretary, United States Department of Agriculture, was issued October 22, 1962, and the final decision of the Assistant Secretary containing all amendment provisions of this order, has been issued November 14, 1962. The changes effected by this order will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers. In view of the foregoing, it is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making this order amending the order effective December 1, 1962, and that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this order for 30 days after its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*. (Sec. 4(c), Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 1001-1011.)

(c) *Determinations.* It is hereby determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of handlers (excluding cooperative associations specified in section 8c(9) of the Act) of more than 50 percent of the milk, which is marketed within the marketing area, to sign a proposed marketing agreement, tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The issuance of this order amending the order is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the Act of advancing the interests of producers as defined in the order as herein amended; and

(3) The issuance of the order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in the Great Basin marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, as follows:

1. Section 1136.51 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1136.51 Basic formula price.

The basic formula price shall be the average price per hundredweight for manufacturing grade milk, f.o.b. plants in Wisconsin and Minnesota, as reported by the Department for the month, adjusted to a 3.5 percent butterfat basis by a butterfat differential rounded to the nearest one-tenth cent computed at 0.12 times the butter price for the month. The basic formula price shall be rounded to the nearest full cent.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Effective date: December 1, 1962.
Signed at Washington, D.C., on November 27, 1962.

JOHN P. DUNCAN, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-11897; Filed, Nov. 30, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

Title 8—ALIENS AND NATIONALITY

Chapter I—Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice

PART 252—LANDING OF ALIEN CREWMEN

Crewmen Documentation

The following amendment to Chapter I of Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations is hereby prescribed:

Paragraph (c) of § 252.1 is amended to read as follows:

§ 252.1 Examination of crewmen.

(c) *Requirements for admission.* Every alien crewman applying for landing privileges in the United States must make his application in person before an immigration officer, present whatever documents are required, and establish to the satisfaction of the immigration officer that he is not subject to exclusion under any provision of the law and is entitled clearly and beyond doubt to landing privileges in the United States.

(Sec. 103, 66 Stat. 173; 8 U.S.C. 1103)

This order shall become effective on the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*. Compliance with the provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1003) as to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date is unnecessary in this instance because the amendment relieves restrictions and is clearly advantageous to persons affected thereby.

Dated: November 29, 1962.

RAYMOND F. FARRELL,
Commissioner of
Immigration and Naturalization.

[F.R. Doc. 62-11950; Filed, Nov. 30, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission [Docket C-267]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Capital Distributing Co. and John Santangelo

Subpart—Discriminating in price under section 2, Clayton Act—Payment for

services or facilities for processing or sale under 2(d): § 13.825 Allowances for services or facilities.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 2, 49 Stat. 1526; 15 U.S.C. 13) [Cease and desist order, The Capital Distributing Company et al., Derby, Conn., Docket C-267, Nov. 15, 1962]

In the Matter of The Capital Distributing Company, a Corporation; and John Santangelo, Individually and as an Officer of Said Corporation

Consent order requiring the national distributor for the publications of some 27 publishers—including magazines, comic books, and paperbacks—to cease violating section 2(d) of the Clayton Act by making payments or allowances to certain operators of chain retail outlets in railroad, airport, and bus terminals and outlets in hotels and office buildings while not making them available on proportionally equal terms to competing drug and grocery chains and other newsstands and, further, making such payments on the basis of individual negotiation and not on proportionally equal terms.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents The Capital Distributing Company, a corporation, its officers, and John Santangelo, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' employees, agents and representatives, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the distribution, sale or offering for sale of publications including magazines, comic books and paperback books in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the amended Clayton Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

Paying or contracting for the payment of an allowance or anything of value to, or for the benefit of, any customer as compensation or in consideration for any services or facilities furnished by or through such customer in connection with the handling, offering for sale, sale or distribution of publications including magazines, comic books and paperback books distributed, sold or offered for sale by respondents, unless such payment or consideration is affirmatively offered and otherwise made available on proportionally equal terms to all of their other customers competing with such favored customer in the distribution of such publications including magazines, comic books and paperback books.

The word "customer" as used above shall be deemed to mean anyone who purchases from a respondent, acting either as principal or agent, or from a distributor or wholesaler where such transaction with such purchaser is essentially a sale by such respondent, acting either as principal or agent.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the man-

ner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: November 15, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-11898; Filed, Nov. 30, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket C-266]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Joan Dell, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Furnishing false guaranties: § 13.1053 *Furnishing false guaranties*; § 13.1053-30 *Flammable Fabrics Act*. Subpart—Importing, selling, or transporting flammable wear: § 13.1060 *Importing, selling, or transporting flammable wear*.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, 67 Stat. 111, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 1191) [Cease and desist order, Joan Dell, Inc., et al., New York, N.Y., Docket C-266, Nov. 15, 1962]

In the Matter of Joan Dell, Inc., a Corporation, and Saul Field and Nathan Block, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation.

Consent order requiring New York City manufacturers to cease violating the Flammable Fabrics Act by selling ladies' dresses made of fabric which was so highly flammable as to be dangerous when worn, and furnishing their customers a false guaranty to the effect that reasonable tests showed the fabrics not to be dangerously flammable.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Joan Dell, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Saul Field and Nathan Block, individually and as officers of respondent corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. (a) Importing into the United States; or

(b) Manufacturing for sale, selling, offering for sale, introducing, delivering for introduction, transporting or causing to be transported, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Flammable Fabrics Act; or

(c) Transporting or causing to be transported, for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale in commerce;

any article of wearing apparel which, under the provisions of section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, is so highly flammable as to be dangerous when worn by individuals.

2. Manufacturing for sale, selling, or offering for sale any article of wearing apparel made of fabric, which fabric has been shipped or received in commerce, and which, under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, is so highly flammable as to be dangerous when worn by individuals.

3. Furnishing to any person a guaranty with respect to any article of wearing apparel or fabric which respondents, or any of them, have reason to believe may be introduced, sold or transported in commerce, which guaranty represents, contrary to fact, that reasonable and representative tests made under the procedures provided in section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, show and will show that the article of wearing apparel, or the fabric used or contained therein, covered by the guaranty, is not, in the form delivered or to be delivered by the guarantor, so highly flammable under the provisions of the Flammable Fabrics Act as to be dangerous when worn by individuals, provided, however, that this prohibition shall not be applicable to a guaranty furnished on the basis of, and in reliance upon, a guaranty to the same effect received by respondents in good faith signed by and containing the name and address of the person by whom the article of wearing apparel or fabric was manufactured or from whom it was received.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: November 15, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-11899; Filed, Nov. 30, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket 8281]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Spencer Gifts, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.170 *Qualities or properties of product or service*; § 13.170-74 *Reducing, non-fattening, low-calorie, etc.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Spencer Gifts, Inc., et al., Atlantic City, N.J., Docket 8281, Nov. 13, 1962]

In the Matter of Spencer Gifts, Inc., a Corporation, and Max Adler and Harry Adler, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation

Order requiring mail order merchandisers in Atlantic City, N.J., to cease advertising falsely that their "Reduce-Eze" girdles would "Slim 4 inches Without Diet", etc.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Spencer Gifts, Inc., a corporation, and its officers and respondent Max Adler individually and as an officer of the said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection

with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of devices designated as "Reduce-Eze", "Deduce-Eze" or "Hip-Eze" girdles, or any other device of similar design, nature, purpose or operation, whether sold under the same name or any other name, do forthwith cease and desist from:

(1) Disseminating or causing to be disseminated any advertisement by means of the United States mails or by any means in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, which advertisement represents, directly or by implication that the wearing of a girdle will cause any reduction in body weight.

(2) Disseminating or causing to be disseminated, by any means, any advertisement for the purpose of inducing or which is likely to induce directly or indirectly the purchase of said devices in commerce as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, which advertisement contains any of the representations prohibited in Paragraph 1, hereof.

It is further ordered, That the complaint be, and the same is hereby dismissed as to the respondent, Harry Adler.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is further ordered, That the respondents, Spencer Gifts, Inc., a corporation, and Max Adler, individually and as an officer of said corporation, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: November 13, 1962.

By the Commission, Commissioner Higginbotham not participating.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-11878; Filed, Nov. 30, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart C—Food Additives Permitted in Animal Feed or Animal-Feed Supplements

DIETHYLCARBAMAZINE

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having evaluated the data submitted in a petition filed by American Cyanamid Company, P.O. Box 400, Princeton, New Jersey, and other relevant material, has concluded that the food additive regulation with respect to diethylcarbazine in dog food, should be amended by deleting the feeding period limitation. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the